

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/564,502	TAKAGI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JIE YANG	1793	

All Participants:

(1) JIE YANG.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

claim 3-an independent claim.

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

Segal (US 6,238,494 B1, thereafter, US'494)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Robert T. Pous.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:30pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant has amended the independent claim 1 to clarify the subject matter. The examiner notes that the similar amendment as claim 1 is also necessary for the independent claim 3. The Examiner further notes that typo errors in the instant claim 3. Authorization for an examiner's amendment was given in the interview. .